

EPA PROJECT OFFICER POST-AWARD EVALUATION PROTOCOL
CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM OFFICE (CBPO)

MID YEAR/SIX MONTH: <u> X </u> CLOSEOUT: <u> </u>	GRANT NUMBER(s): CB97393101	
1. DATE PREPARED: 01/28/2015 (Report theoretically covers time frame 04/01/2014-0930/2014)	2. RECIPIENT NAME: PA Dept of Environmental Protection	
3. ENTER ALL DATES: a. OFF-SITE CONFERENCE CALL DATE: 12/16/2014 b. ON-SITE REVIEW DATE: (enter date if applicable, otherwise N/A) c. REPORT DATE: 01/28/2015 (Date Report Sent by Email to Grantee) d. CLOSED DATE: 01/29/2015 (Date all major issues resolved, if applicable, otherwise this date is same as Report Date.)	4. PROJECT OFFICER(s): James Hargett PARTICIPANTS/PERSONS CONTACTED: (Names /Affiliations) -EPA: Peter Tango (USGS – Technical Advisor, Watershed Monitoring Coordinator) - GRANTEE: Mark Brickner Project Manager PADEP	
5. <u>AWARD INFORMATION</u> Grant <u> </u> Cooperative Agreement <u> X </u>	6. <u>PROJECT / BUDGET PERIOD DATES:</u>	
	BEGINNING	ENDING
	Project Period: 7/01/2010	9/30/2016
	Budget Period: 7/01/2010	9/30/2015
7. <u>AWARD AMOUNT</u> EPA share: \$1,566,118 Recipient share/Match: \$82,428 EPA IN-KIND: \$0 Total: \$1,648,546	8. <u>BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION:</u> PA DEP Data Management: Essential sample collection as part of an integrated, interstate watershed-wide partner network, data management of QA'd non-tidal water quality data set produced, and statistical analysis and its summaries that support assessing the effectiveness of management actions in the Bay watershed.	

9. Is the payment history consistent with progress to date?

Response: Yes

10. Is the work under the agreement on schedule?

Response: Yes

11. Is the actual work being performed within the scope of the recipient's workplan?

Response: Yes

12. Are the recipient's staff and facilities appropriate to handle the work under the agreement?

Response: Yes

13. Are the products/progress reports submitted on time?

Response: Yes

14. Are the products/progress reports acceptable?

Response: Yes

15. Is the recipient making adequate progress in achieving outcomes and outputs and associated milestones in the assistance agreement workplan?

Response: Yes

16. If the recipient is experiencing significant problems meeting agreed-upon outcomes and outputs, has the recipient been required to develop and implement a corrective action plan?

Response: Not Applicable

17. Has the recipient complied with the programmatic terms and conditions on the award? (e.g., QMP, Program Income, etc...)

Response: Yes

18. Did the recipient purchase equipment/property as planned in the agreement?

Response: NA, purchase of equipment was not authorized under this agreement.

19. Has the equipment been used as planned in the agreement?

Response: NA

20. Does this review indicate any reason to amend the award?

Response: No

21. If this award includes sub-awards, is the recipient complying with the sub-award policy requirements?

Response: No

22. Is there anything else the project officer wishes to share? (e.g., Findings, Needed actions, Requested documentation, etc...)

Response: No